Kawi Complex, Block B, Popo Lane, Off Red Cross Road, South C P. O. Box 34942 – 00100, NAIROBI Phone: 020 4956000, 0719018000, 0732128000

Web: www.ketraco.co.ke - email: info@ketraco.co.ke

Our Ref: KETRACO/PT/029/2023

9th November 2023

Notice to all Bidders.

TENDER ADDENDUM AND CLARIFICATION 2

RE: PREQUALIFICATION FOR PROCUREMENT OF PLANT - DESIGN, SUPPLY, AND INSTALLATION OF THE PILOT DIGITAL 400/132 KV MAKINDU SUBSTATION (KETRACO/PT/029/2023)

The following amendments are made to the specified provisions for Invitation For Prequalification For Procurement of Plant - Design, Supply, and Installation of The Pilot Digital 400/132 kV Makindu Substation (KETRACO/PT/029/2023).

Save where expressly amended by the terms of this clarification, the Principal Tender Document shall continue to be in full force and effect.

Find herein the ADDENDUM and CLARIFICATION No. 2, consisting of thirteen (13) pages into the Principal Tender Documents as attached. This document should be returned along with dully filled Form of Tender.

Tender Addendum and Clarification No. 2

No.	Clarification as requested by applicants	KETRACO Response
1	Number of companies that will be	Refer to Addendum 2 attached to this
	prequalified to the next stage	Tender Addendum and Clarification No.
		2.
		Addendum 2 contains amendments
		that have been made to Section I, II, III
		and IV summarized as follows:
		In Section I,
		 Clause 27 of the Instructions to
	#	Applicants has been revised as
		per Addendum 2.
		In Section II,
		 The new Clause ITA 27.1 of the
		Prequalification Data Sheet has
		been included.
		In Section III,
		 Revisions have been made to
		Criterion 4.2 (a) and (b).
		 New Table 2 – Rated Criteria
		has been included.
		In Section IV,

		Form CMC has been included as part of the Application Forms
2	Is it necessary to certify all documents by an advocate or will suffice just with the power of attorney and the authorized person's signature on the corresponding pages?	Please refer to Clause 15.1 of the Instructions to Applicants.
3	In order to be able to provide KETRACO with our best prepared file for this prequalification that fully match its stringent requirements and stakes, may we respectfully request a one (1) months extension from the scheduled application submission on October 26th, 2023.	Extension was already granted as per Tender Addendum and Clarification No. 1. No further extensions shall be granted.
4	We are preparing our documentation and through attached letter seek for an extension on the closing date by 2 weeks.	
5	On this occasion, we hereby ask for your kind support to postpone the prequalification submission date for 2 weeks; in order to prepare the appropriate document, that qualifies us for mention project.	
6	However, in order to be able to provide KETRACO with our best prepared file for this prequalification that fully match its stringent requirements and stakes, may we respectfully request a one (1) months extension from the scheduled application submission on October 26th, 2023	
7	However, the submission is due in the month of October, which is one of the festive months in India. On the same grounds, we are facing delay in the preparation process and approvals from the respective teams. In view of the above, we request you to please extend bid submission date at least by 4-5 weeks from current submission date 26th October, 2023 which will enable us to submit our compliant pre-qualification documents.	
8	So, we request you to kindly extend the submission date by at least 4-6 weeks from the current submission date (i.e., 26th October 2023),	

		T
9	We request a six week extension from	
	tender closing date 26/10/2023 to 07/12/2023.	
10	We kindly request for Four (4) weeks	
-0	extension on tender closing from	
	26/10/2023 to 23/11/2023.	
11	We have encountered some unexpected	
	delays in consolidating our document and	13
	finalizing our proposal, and we believe that	3
	a brief extension of 4 weeks beyond the	
	original deadline will allow us to ensure the	
	accuracy and quality of our submission,	
	ultimately benefitting the overall	
	evaluation process.	
12	Hence, we request your esteemed office to	
	kindly extend the bid submission date from	
	the present 26th October 2023 by another	
	four weeks.	
13	The prequalification documents what I got	The WORD version has been uploaded
	from website is by PDF version, can you	on the KETRACO website
	provide us the WORD version?	(http://www.ketraco.co.ke) and AFD
		DG market website
		(https://afd.dgmarket.com).
14	"Clause 4.2(b): Specific Experience	This is not acceptable. However, refer
	Sub-clause (ii):	to the amendments issued under
	one (1) of the two (2) substations shall be	Section III, Evaluation and
	outside the bidder's home country"	Prequalification Criteria vide this Tender Addendum and Clarification No.
	"Can clause 4.2 (b) sub-clause (ii) be:	2.
	(a) deleted	2.
	OR, read as:	
	(b) any project outside the bidder's home	
	country"	
15	Qualification Criteria: 4.2 (a) Specific	Refer to the information provided in
	construction & contract management	the principal tender documents and
	experience.	the amendments issued vide this
		Tender Addendum and Clarification No.
	We understood that similar contract means	2.
	the bidder who has	
	completed/commissioned 2 contracts of	
	400/220/132kV substation having the value	
	of each substation is more than 15 Million	
	Euros. Please confirm.	
16	Clause 4.2 (b) (ii) may limit the number of	This is not acceptable. However, refer
	bidders, in this regard, we request your	to the amendments issued under
	good self to amend the clause 4.2 (b) as	Section III, Evaluation and
	i) One (1) of the two (2)	Prequalification Criteria vide this
	substations shall be of 220 kV	Tender Addendum and Clarification No.
	AC and above in which process	2.

	bus is used for data exchange,	
	and;	
	ii) one (1) of the two (2)	
	substations shall be outside of	
	the bidder's home country	
	or	
		ì
	the bidder should have	
	successfully or substantially	
	executed infrastructure project	
	in outside of bidder's home	
	country	
17	I would be pleased if Kenya Electricity	No.
	Transmission Company Ltd. would provide	TW:
	me with information in conducting The	
	Pilot Digital 400/132 kV Makindu	
	Substation project about which the amount	
	of guarantee cost and the estimated cost of	
	_	
18	the project. In this regard, we request KETRACO, to	This is not acceptable. However, refer
10		to the amendments issued under
	check if the opening to all high voltage	
	(>72,5kV) Digital substation for either AIS	Section III, Evaluation and
	or GIS or the digitalization of existing	Prequalification Criteria vide this
	substation is possible. Providing that the	Tender Addendum and Clarification No.
	digitalization technology of substation is	2.
	the same, the requested release will	
	continue to ensure to KETRACO the	
	stringent level of technical capability of the	
	Bidder or the supplier.	
19	In order to provide KETRACO, the global	This is not acceptable.
	offering from the group, and at the same	
	time we ensure the most competitive offer,	
	we kindly request you to extension the	
	qualification of the applicant to its Group	
	Qualification providing the applicant show	
	support letter from its parent or sister	
	company within the same group.	
20	We are writing to request for clarification	Refer to the information provided in
	on 4.2 (b)-i of the prequalification	the principal tender documents and
	document regarding special experience in	the amendments issued vide this
	construction of a substation using process	Tender Addendum and Clarification No.
	bus for data exchange for the Pilot Digital	2.
	400/132 kV Makindu Substation. Kindly	
	advise on required technical specification	
	and the specific document required to	
	prove experience in the same.	
21	We completed substations where we	Refer to the information provided in
	installed and commissioned	the principal tender documents and
	Control,Relay,Protection and SCADA	the amendments issued vide this
	Control,heldy,i (Occution and SCADA	and differentiality issued vide titls

systems. Our completed works consist of a Data processing bus between relays and SCADA system. Also, all the communication within secondary systems is digitalised over IEC 61850 on secondary systems. Can you please confirm if our experience can fulfil the above criteria?

Tender Addendum and Clarification No. 2.

All other terms and conditions of the Request for Proposal document remains the same.

PETER NJEHIA

SENIOR MANAGER, SUPPLY CHAIN

Att.: Addendum 2

Tender Addendum and Clarification No. 2 of Tender No. KETRACO/PT/029/2023 has been received and incorporated in the Tender Documents.

Name of Tenderer (in block	
letters):	
:=	
Signature:	
Date:	
Signed for the Tenderer by (Name in block letters):	
_	
of:	
Date: Signed for the Tenderer by (Name in block letters): In the office bearer capacity	_

Addendum 2

Section I - Instructions to Applicants (ITA)

Prequalification of Applicants

The range of Applicants that the Employer may Initially Select (x = maximum number) is specified in the PDS.

Initial Selection of Applicants involves several steps, as follows:

- a) Step 1 Table 1 Evaluation: The first step of Prequalification involves evaluation against the methods, criteria and requirements described in Section III, Prequalification Criteria;
- Step 2 Rejection: Applicants that do not meet any of the qualification criteria and requirements in Prequalification Criteria will not be evaluated further, and will be eliminated from the Initial Selection process;
- Step 3 Long List: Applicants that meet any of the qualification criteria and requirements in Prequalification Criteria will be long listed, and evaluated further;
- d) **Step 4**: Depending on the number of Applicants that are long listed, one of the following options will apply:

<u>Option 1</u>: All are Prequalified: Where the number of long listed Applicants is ≤ x, all long listed Applicants are Prequalified. In this scenario, there is no requirement to evaluate these long listed Applicants against Table 2: Rated Criteria. Therefore, Steps 5 to 7 shall not apply;

Option 2: Applicants are evaluated using Table 2 Evaluation: Where the number of long listed Applicants is > x, the Employer shall evaluate all long listed Applicants against Table 2: Rated Criteria. This evaluation method involves scoring each Application against rated criteria using the scoring methodology described;

- e) Step 5 Rank Applicants: The total scores, from this step of the Prequalification evaluation, for each long listed Applicant are compared, and the Applicants are ranked from the highest to the lowest total score;
- f) Step 6 Prequalification up to x: In accordance with the value selected by the Employer for x, the Employer Prequiaifies the Applicants that are ranked from the highest score, to the Application ranked as x;
- g) Step 7 Reject x+1 Applicants: Where the number of long listed Applicants is > x, the Employer rejects all Applicants that are ranked greater than x, i.e. ranked as x+1, x+2, x+3, etc.

Section II – Prequalification Data Sheet (PDS)

Evaluation	of Applications and Initial Selection of Applicants
ITA 27.1	Initial Selection – maximum number (x)
	The Employer intends to initially select the following maximum number of
	Applications: seven (7). This number is referred to as (x), and is the
	maximum number to be initially selected.

Page 8 of 13

Section III - Evaluation and Prequalification Criteria

Prequalification Criteria

4. Experience	9:					
			Joint Venture (existing or intended)	existing or int	ended)	
Criterion	Requirement	Single Entity	All Parties	Each	One	Documentation Required
			Combined	Member	Member	
4.2 (a)	(i) A minimum number of similar ¹	Must meet	Must meet	N/A	N/A	• Form EXP-4.2(a)
Specific	contracts specified below that	requirement	requirement ⁴			 Completion certificates
Construction &	have been satisfactorily and					issued by the client
Contract	substantially ² completed as a					 Letter from client
Management	prime contractor, joint venture					indicating scope, cost
Experience	member ³ management					and completion date of
	contractor or subcontractor					the project and their
	between 1st January 2012 and					contact details.
	application submission deadline:					
	Minimum of N, 2 contracts, each					
	of minimum value V Euro					
	15,000,000 (Fifteen million					
	euros).					
	Bidder shall provide evidence of					
	all experience listed.					

The similarity shall be based on the physical size, complexity and technicity of the Works. Adding up small value contracts (less than the value specified under requirement) to meet the overall requirement will not be accepted.

Substantial completion shall be based on 80% or more works completed under the contract.

For contracts under which the Applicant participated as a joint venture member or subcontractor, only the Applicant's share, by value, shall be considered to meet this requirement.

In the case of a JV, the value of contracts completed by its members shall not be aggregated to determine whether the requirement of the minimum value of a single contract has been met. For instance, if the criterion mentions "two (2) contracts with a minimum value of 50M\$ each", a JV composed of 3 members and having carried out four (4) contracts of 30M\$ each shall not be qualified. On the other hand, if 2 of the 3 members of the JV each carried out one (1) contract of 50M\$, the criterion is met, even if the third member does not have any contract of this value.

Page 9 of 13

For example, experience of works while in operation may be required under this criterion. Volume, number or rate of production of any key activity can be demonstrated in one or more contracts combined if executed during same time period. The rate of production shall be the annual production rate for the key construction activity (or activities). For contracts under which the Applicant participated as a joint venture member or subcontractor, only the Applicant's share shall be counted to meet this requirement.

			Joint Venture (existing or intended)	existing or int	ended)	
Criterion	Requirement	Single Entity	All Parties	Each	One	Documentation Required
			Combined	Member	Member	
	for all the above substations, they					
_	must have been completed and					
	energized prior to bid submission.					
•	These criteria must be evidenced					
	by a completion and operational					
	acceptance certificate issued to					
1	the bidder.					
	(ii) For the following specialized	Must meet	Must meet	N/A	Must meet	Form EXP-4.2(b)
	works, the Employer permits	requirement	requirement		requiremen	
	specialized subcontractors as per	for one			ţ	
_	ITA 24.2 and 24.3. N/A	contract				

Table 2 – Rated Criteria

Requirements Contracts for Design and Build / EPC Number of Similar Contracts Number of satisfactorily completed Design and Build / EPC contracts that exceed the number specified in Section III, Prequalification Criteria, criterion 4.2 (where this number is N) that are:							Submission
1 Contracts for Design and Br Number of Similar Contracts Number of satisfactorily comple specified in Section III, Prequalif					Maximum Score	Remark	Requirements
Number of Similar Contracts Number of satisfactorily comples specified in Section III, Prequalif	ild / EPC						
Number of satisfactorily complese specified in Section III, Prequalifi					Maximum for	In case of JV,	 Form EXP-4.2
specified in Section III, Prequalifi	ted Design and	Build / EPC cont	racts that e	xceed the number	criterion 4.2	all members	 Completion and
	cation Criteria,	criterion 4.2 (wl	here this nu	umber is N) that are:	(a): 30	combined will	operational
a) similar to the Requirements (Section III, Prequalification Criteria, criterion 4.2); and	ints (Section III,	Prequalification	n Criteria <u>, ci</u>	riterion 4.2); and	Maximum for	be evaluated.	acceptance
b) completed during the past eleven (11) years.	ıst eleven (11) y	rears.			criterion 4.2		certificates issued
Scoring methodology for criterion 4.2 (a):	on 4.2 (a):				Maximum for		by the chefit
Number of contracts					criterion 4.2		indicating scope.
[Number of [> N+4	[N+3	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	N+1	Ņ	(b) (ii): 20		cost and
satisfactorily contracts]	ts]	contracts]	contracts]	contracts]			completion date
contracts]							their contact
Weighting [100]	[80]	[60]	[40]	[20]			details.
NB: The Applicant shall limit the number of submitted similar contracts references to ten (10) in	number of subi	mitted similar α	ontracts ref	erences to ten (10) in			
its Application.							
Scoring methodology for criterion 4.2 (b):	on 4.2 (b):						
Specific criterion [Crit	[Criterion 4.2 (b) (i)]	[Criterion 4.2 (b) (ii)]	4.2 (b) (ii)]				
Number of contracts							
[Number of	1 IN	[>N+1	N				
	cts1						
completed similar				,			
Weighting [100]	[80]	[100]	[80]				
TOTAL 1					20		

Criteria	Scoring		Documentation
Requirements	Maximum Score	Remark	Submission Requirements
2 Contract Management Capability			
Contract management capability demonstrated in the following key areas:		In case of JV,	Form CMC
a) Description of project management systems and how they will be applied (including	10	the capability	
status of accreditation with recognized international standards applicable to the		of the lead	
industry)		member will	
b) Understanding of Employer's Requirements (approach to the contract, preliminary	10	be evaluated	
timeline/delivery schedule, risk identification), and			
c) Use of value Engineering, innovation and continuous improvement.	10		
TOTAL 2	30		
TOTAL 1 + 2			

Section IV – Application Forms

Form CMC: **Contract Management Capability**

[The Applicant shall demonstrate Contract Management Capabilities in accordance with Table 2 - Rated Criteria]

- 1) Description of project management system/s and how they will be applied (including status of accreditation with recognized international standards applicable to the industry)(*)
- 2) Understanding of Employer's Requirements^(*) (approach to the contract, preliminary timeline, risk identification), and
- 3) Use of value Engineering, innovation and continuous improvement^(*).
- (*): The total number of pages submitted should not exceed five (5) pages.

¥